WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

JUN 1 6 2009

LODGED

FILED

RECEIVED

CLERK U S DISTRIOT COU

Ul	NITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Julio	o Madrid-Chavez	Case Number: <u>09-3242M</u>
and was repr	re with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a cresented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance the defendant pending trial in this case.	detention hearing was held on 6/16/09. Defendant was present se of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the
I find by a pro	FINDING eponderance of the evidence that:	SS OF FACT
×		ates or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
⊠	The defendant, at the time of the charged offer	•
\boxtimes	The defendant has previously been deported of	_ ·
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the	
		States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but h substantial family ties to Mexico.	as no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in co	ourt as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforce	ement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The (at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the material finding the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the	gs of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court record.
	CONCLUS	IONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will fl No condition or combination of conditions will r	ee. easonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS REG	ARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The o of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportuni	ney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ppearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS AND TH	IRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention	order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>6/16/09</u>

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge